MOCK TRIAL OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: _____ Time: _____

***Plaintiff:* Total Team Score** _____

Attorney: Victoria ~~[illegible]~~ Wilkinson

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 5
Non-Verbal	1 3 5

Content *What you say:*

Opening Statement	2 4 6
Closing Statement	2 4 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Attorney vs Attorney)

Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 38

Witness Mirabel Gallegos

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 5
Non-Verbal	1 3 5

Content *What you say:*

Direct Examination Responses	2 4 6
Cross Examination Responses	2 4 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Witness vs Witness)

Section A (score stronger argument here)	8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 36

***Defense:* Total Team Score** _____

Attorney: Camila Garcia

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 5
Non-Verbal	1 3 5

Content *What you say:*

Opening Statement	2 4 6
Closing Statement	2 4 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Attorney vs Attorney)

Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 41

Witness Elisa Magallanes

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 5
Non-Verbal	1 3 5

Content *What you say:*

Direct Examination Responses	2 4 6
Cross Examination Responses	2 4 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Attorney vs Attorney)

Section A (score stronger reasoning here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser reasoning here)	3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 42

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **PLAINTIFF** **DEFENDANT**
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

Victoria: - Good voice projection
- Good facial expressions and passion

Mayo: loud but don't be too loud.
repetitive responses.
Try to have fun here?

Camila: Slow down!!
Good CX questions and use of knowledge.

Elisa: Good volume but use your mechanism.

Judge Name: [redacted] Signature: [redacted] 5.27.25

MOCK TRIAL OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: FINALS Time: _____

***Plaintiff:* Total Team Score** _____

Attorney: VICTORIA WILKINSON

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 5
Non-Verbal	1 3 5
Content *What you say:*	
Opening Statement	2 4 6
Closing Statement	2 4 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 10
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 5
Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Attorney vs Attorney)	
Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5
Total (max. 45 points)	

Witness MARIA JOSE GALLEGOS

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 5
Non-Verbal	1 3 5
Content *What you say:*	
Direct Examination Responses	2 4 6
Cross Examination Responses	2 4 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 10
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 5
Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Witness vs Witness)	
Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5
Total (max. 45 points)	

SLOW DOWN

READING TOO MUCH

***Defense:* Total Team Score**

Attorney: CAMICA GARZA

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 5
~~Non-Verbal~~	~~1 3 5~~
Content *What you say:*	
Opening Statement	2 4 6
Closing Statement	2 4 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 10
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 5
Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Attorney vs Attorney)	
Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5
Total (max. 45 points)	

Witness ELISA MAGALHAES

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 5
~~Non-Verbal~~	~~1 3 5~~
Content *What you say:*	
Direct Examination Responses	2 4 6
Cross Examination Responses	2 4 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 10
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 5
Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Attorney vs Attorney)	
Section A (score stronger reasoning here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser reasoning here)	3 5
Total (max. 45 points)	

YELLING AT ATTORNEY AND WITNESS

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **PLAINTIFF** **DEFENDANT**
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

A, B, C
WHY NOT RESTATE ALL?

- WITNESS TONE OF VOICE: BORDERLINE WHINING
- TASTEFUL NODDING

"WOULD BE CRAZY TO THINK?"

~~WITNESS TONE OF VOICE — BORDERLINE WHINING~~

RATIONALE UNSUPPORTED

Judge Name: [redacted] Signature: [redacted] 5.27.25

~~PLAS~~ STOP ASKING ATTORNEYS TO REPEAT QUESTIONS YOU CLEARLY HEARD!

MOCK TRIAL OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: Finals Time: _____

***Plaintiff:* Total Team Score** _____

Attorney: Victoria Wilkinson

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 5
Non-Verbal	1 3 5

Content ***What you say:***

Opening Statement	2 4 6
Closing Statement	2 4 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument ***How you defend what you say:***
(Attorney vs Attorney)

Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5

Total (max. 45 points) ☐

Witness Maria Jose Gallegos

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 5
Non-Verbal	1 3 5

Content ***What you say:***

Direct Examination Responses	2 4 6
Cross Examination Responses	2 4 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument ***How you defend what you say:***
(Witness vs Witness)

Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5

Total (max. 45 points) ☐

***Defense:* Total Team Score**

Attorney: Camila Garcia

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 5
~~Non-Verbal~~	~~1 3 5~~

Content ***What you say:***

Opening Statement	2 4 6
Closing Statement	2 4 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument ***How you defend what you say:***
(Attorney vs Attorney)

Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5

Total (max. 45 points) ☐

Witness Elisa Magallanes

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 5
~~Non-Verbal~~	~~1 3 5~~

Content ***What you say:***

Direct Examination Responses	2 4 6
Cross Examination Responses	2 4 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument ***How you defend what you say:***
(Attorney vs Attorney)

Section A (score stronger reasoning here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser reasoning here)	3 5

Total (max. 45 points) ☐

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **PLAINTIFF** **DEFENDANT**
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

victoria: loved your closing sentence in your opening. great tone don't sway when questioning.
MJ gallegos: good job keeping your stance, liked your emphasis on the exclusivity of the program.
victoria: loved your question abt including everyone, "focusing on high-achieving youth..." !!!
• loved your last question in the CX

• slow down camila
• camila: great questions great tone when asking, liked that you brought up the resources.
• elisa: good tone, think you could've used your recross better.
• good point abt leaders surviving resources.

Judge Name: [redacted] **Signature:** [redacted] **5.27.25**

• liked include vs exclude in closing
• could've ended stronger

No objections???

• camila: don't bring up how your witness admitted something against yourselves.

liked how you mentioned alumni impact.

MOCK TRIAL OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: _____ Time: _____

Plaintiff: Total Team Score 81

Attorney: Victoria Wilkinson

Witness Mariabe Gallegos

Presentation:	
Verbal	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)
Content *What you say:*	
Opening Statement	2 4 (6)
Closing Statement	2 (4) 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 (10)
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)
Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Attorney vs Attorney)	
Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 (5)
Total (max. 45 points)	46

Presentation:	
Verbal	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)
Content *What you say:*	
Direct Examination Responses	2 4 (6)
Cross Examination Responses	2 (4) 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 (10)
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)
Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Witness vs Witness)	
Section A (score stronger argument here)	(6) 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5
Total (max. 45 points)	41

Defense: Total Team Score 83

Attorney: Camila Garcia

Witness ~~Mariabe Gallegos~~ Elisa Magdalena

Presentation:	
Verbal	1 3 (5)
~~Non-Verbal~~	1 3 (5)
Content *What you say:*	
Opening Statement	2 4 (6)
Closing Statement	2 4 (6)
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 (10)
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)
Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Attorney vs Attorney)	
Section A (score stronger argument here)	(6) 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5
Total (max. 45 points)	43

Presentation:	
Verbal	1 3 (5)
~~Non-Verbal~~	1 3 (5)
Content *What you say:*	
Direct Examination Responses	2 4 (6)
Cross Examination Responses	2 (4) 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 (10)
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)
Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Attorney vs Attorney)	
Section A (score stronger reasoning here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser reasoning here)	3 (5)
Total (max. 45 points)	40

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **PLAINTIFF** **DEFENDANT**
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

P: yes Ukr're being excluded but why does that violate? focus more on NM rationale already asserts, forgot to say! object not building asset?

D: do not say are you aware you contradicted yourself. Elisa should mention 11.01 in closing!!

Judge Name: _____ **Signature:** _____ 5.27.25