CROSS-EXAMINATION OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: _____ Time: _____

Affirmative: *Total Team Score* _____

Leader: Dalinda Hernandez

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 (5)

Non-Verbal 1 3 (5)

83

Content *What you say:*

Use of Value Criterion 2 4 (6)

CX Responses 2 4 (6)

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 (8) 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say:*
(Leader vs Leader)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 (5)

Total (max. 45 points) 40

Member: Natalia Yañez

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 (5)

Non-Verbal 1 3 (5)

Content *What you say:*

Use of Inquiry 2 4 (6)

Rebuttal/Rejoinder 2 4 (6)

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 (8) 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say:*
(Member vs Member)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 (8)

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 43

Opposition: *Total Team Score* _____

Leader: Knox Pinkerton

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 (5)

Non-Verbal 1 3 (5)

71

Content *What you say:*

Use of Value Criterion 2 (4) 6

CX Responses 2 4 (6)

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 (10)

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say:*
(Leader vs Leader)

Section A (score stronger argument here) (6) 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 41

Member: Marco Garcia

Presentation:

Verbal 1 (3) 5

Non-Verbal 1 (3) 5

Content *What you say:*

Use of Inquiry 2 (4) 6

Analysis of Opponent's Argument 2 4 (6)

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 (8) 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: (1) 3 5

Argument *How you defend what you say:*
(Member vs Member)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 (5)

Total (max. 45 points) 30

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **AFFIRMATIVE** **OPPOSITION**
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

Knox: Super Strong leader

Marco: Needs work on everything to not bring down leader

Natalia: Slow down & enunciate

Dalinda: Same thing but lower volume you look very sure of yourself both I love it.

Judge Name: Miranda Urzua

Signature: _____

5.27.25

CROSS-EXAMINATION OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☑ Elimination Rounds Round: 2 Time: 12:53

Affirmative: *Total Team Score* 87

Leader: ~~Natalia Yanez~~ Dalinda Hernandez

Member: ~~Dalinda Hernandez~~ Natalia Yanez

Leader		Member	
Presentation:		**Presentation:**	
Verbal	1 3 5	Verbal	1 3 5
Non-Verbal	1 3 5	Non-Verbal	1 3 5
Content *What you say:*		**Content** *What you say:*	
Use of Value Criterion	2 4 6	Use of Inquiry	2 4 6
CX Responses	2 4 6	Rebuttal/Rejoinder	2 4 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 10	Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 10
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 5	**Decorum, Rules, and Time:**	1 3 5
Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Leader vs Leader)		**Argument** *How you defend what you say:* (Member vs Member)	
Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8	Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5	Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5
Total (max. 45 points)	42	Total (max. 45 points)	45

Opposition: *Total Team Score* 71

Leader: Krox Pinkerson

Member: Marco Garcia

Leader		Member	
Presentation:		**Presentation:**	
Verbal	1 3 5	Verbal	1 3 5
Non-Verbal	1 3 5	Non-Verbal	1 3 5
Content *What you say:*		**Content** *What you say:*	
Use of Value Criterion	2 4 6	Use of Inquiry	2 4 6
CX Responses	2 4 6	Analysis of Opponent's Argument	2 4 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 10	Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 10
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 5	**Decorum, Rules, and Time:**	1 3 5
Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Leader vs Leader)		**Argument** *How you defend what you say:* (Member vs Member)	
Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8	Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5	Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5
Total (max. 45 points)	43	Total (max. 45 points)	28

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **AFFIRMATIVE** **OPPOSITION**
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

LA - 17 sec left after main arguments, very vocal & good eye contact, good responses in CX

LO - very good vocal, left 21 sec very good presentation * needs better timing

MA - very aggressive in CX, good rebuttal, strong vocals

MO - a bit soft (not strong) during CX, struggled in rebuttal with 52 sec

Judge Name: Emmer Alvarez

Signature: [signature]

5.27.25

CROSS-EXAMINATION OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: 2 Time: 12:53

Affirmative: *Total Team Score* 84

Leader: Nat Yañez

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 (5)

Non-Verbal 1 3 (5)

Content *What you say:*

Use of Value Criterion 2 4 (6)

CX Responses 2 4 (6)

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 (10)

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say:*
(Leader vs Leader)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 (8)

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 45

Member: Dalinda Hernandez

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 (5)

Non-Verbal 1 3 (5)

Content *What you say:*

Use of Inquiry 2 (4) 6

Rebuttal/Rejoinder 2 (4) 6

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 (8) 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say:*
(Member vs Member)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 (8)

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 39

Opposition: *Total Team Score* 75

Leader: Knox Pinkerton

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 (5)

Non-Verbal 1 3 (5)

Content *What you say:*

Use of Value Criterion 2 (4) 6

CX Responses 2 4 (6)

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 (8) (10)

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say:*
(Leader vs Leader)

Section A (score stronger argument here) (6) 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 41

Member: Marco Garcia

Presentation:

Verbal 1 (3) ~~5~~

Non-Verbal 1 (3) 5

Content *What you say:*

Use of Inquiry 2 4 (6)

Analysis of Opponent's Argument 2 (4) 6

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 (10)

Decorum, Rules, and Time: (1) ~~3~~ 5

Argument *How you defend what you say:*
(Member vs Member)

Section A (score stronger argument here) (6) 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) ~~35~~ 34

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **AFFIRMATIVE** **OPPOSITION**
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

Nat - Strong presentation

Knox - Great Argument

Dalinda - Well done

Marco - eye contact, more time, ~~sound~~ more passion

Judge Name: Edgar Vela

Signature: [signature]

5.27.25

35

59

CROSS-EXAMINATION OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: _____ Time: _____

Affirmative: *Total Team Score* 79

Leader: Dalinda

Member: Nadalia Ramez

Presentation:		**Presentation:**	
Verbal	1 3 (5)	Verbal	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)	Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)
Content *What you say*:		**Content** *What you say*:	
Use of Value Criterion	2 4 (6)	Use of Inquiry	2 (4) 6
CX Responses	2 (4) 6	Rebuttal/Rejoinder	2 4 (6)
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 (8) 10	Reasoning and conceptualization	6 (8) 10
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)	**Decorum, Rules, and Time:**	1 3 (5)
Argument *How you defend what you say*: (Leader vs Leader)		**Argument** *How you defend what you say*: (Member vs Member)	
Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8	Section A (score stronger argument here)	(6) 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 (5)	Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5
Total (max. 45 points)	40	Total (max. 45 points)	39

Opposition: *Total Team Score* 77

Leader: Knox Pinkerton

Member: Marco Garcia

Presentation:		**Presentation:**	
Verbal	1 3 (5)	Verbal	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)	Non-Verbal	1 (3) 5
Content *What you say*:		**Content** *What you say*:	
Use of Value Criterion	2 4 (6)	Use of Inquiry	2 (4) 6
CX Responses	2 4 (6)	Analysis of Opponent's Argument	2 4 (6)
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 (10)	Reasoning and conceptualization	6 (8) 10
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)	**Decorum, Rules, and Time:**	(1) 3 5
Argument *How you defend what you say*: (Leader vs Leader)		**Argument** *How you defend what you say*: (Member vs Member)	
Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 (8)	Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5	Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 (5)
Total (max. 45 points)	45	Total (max. 45 points)	32

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **(AFFIRMATIVE)** **OPPOSITION**

(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

- Knox had an insane performance
- Knox cooked
- Balinda was leaning towards mid but her presenting saved her.
- Marco did not meet time.
- Marco made sense and sni but his presentation was very poor

Judge Name: Jesse Pablo De la Cruz Signature: [signature] 5.27.25

CROSS-EXAMINATION OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☑ Elimination Rounds Round: 2 Time: 12:53

***Affirmative:* Total Team Score** 185

Leader: Dalinda

Presentation: 17

Verbal 1 3 (5)

Non-Verbal 1 3 (5) 10

Content *What you say*:

Use of Value Criterion 2 4 (6) 12

CX Responses 2 4 (6)

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 (8) 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 (3) 5 35

Argument *How you defend what you say*: (Leader vs Leader)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 (5)

Total (max. 45 points) 40

Member: Yañez Natalia

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 (5)

Non-Verbal 1 3 (5)

Content *What you say*:

Use of Inquiry 2 4 (6)

Rebuttal/Rejoinder 2 4 (6)

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 (10)

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say*: (Member vs Member)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 (8)

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 45

***Opposition:* Total Team Score** (71)

Leader: Pinkerton Knox

Presentation: 21

Verbal 1 3 (5)

Non-Verbal 1 3 (5)

Content *What you say*:

Use of Value Criterion 2 (4) 6

CX Responses 2 4 (6)

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 (10)

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say*: (Leader vs Leader)

Section A (score stronger argument here) (6) 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 41

Member: Marco Garcia

Presentation: 50

Verbal 1 (3) 5

Non-Verbal 1 (3) 5 6

Content *What you say*:

Use of Inquiry 2 (4) 6 10

Analysis of Opponent's Argument 2 4 (6)

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 (8) 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: (1) 3 5

Argument *How you defend what you say*: (Member vs Member)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 (5)

Total (max. 45 points) 30

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **AFFIRMATIVE** **OPPOSITION**

(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

Dalinda: Arguement was there, explain more

Yañez: no notes

Knox: Amazing content, elaborate more, arguement had so much more potential

Marco: Read the whole time, very informal, 90 sec left

Judge Name: Mauricio Ibarra

Signature: [signature]

5.27.25