Plantiffs need better argument across the board!!!

MOCK TRIAL OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: _____ Time: _____

Plaintiff: Total Team Score 77

Attorney: Camila Garcia

Presentation:	
Verbal	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)
Content *What you say:*	
Opening Statement	2 4 (6)
Closing Statement	2 4 (6)
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 (10)
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)
Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Attorney vs Attorney)	
Section A (score stronger argument here)	(6) 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5
Total (max. 45 points)	43

Witness Elissa Magallanes

Presentation:	
Verbal	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)
Content *What you say:*	
Direct Examination Responses	2 (4) 6
Cross Examination Responses	(2) 4 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 (8) 10
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)
Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Witness vs Witness)	
Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 (5)
Total (max. 45 points)	34

Defense: Total Team Score 75

Attorney: Christian Pirtle

Presentation:	
Verbal	1 (3) 5
Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)
Content *What you say:*	
Opening Statement	2 4 (6)
Closing Statement	2 (4) 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 (10)
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)
Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Attorney vs Attorney)	
Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 (5)
Total (max. 45 points)	38

Witness Jacob Mungia

Presentation:	
Verbal	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 (3) 5
Content *What you say:*	
Direct Examination Responses	2 4 (6)
Cross Examination Responses	2 (4) 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 (8) 10
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)
Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Attorney vs Attorney)	
Section A (score stronger reasoning here)	(6) 8
Section B (score lesser reasoning here)	3 5
Total (max. 45 points)	37

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **PLAINTIFF** ~~DEFENDANT~~
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

Cam: Little kinder, too soft, unengaging. Good points about VAI requirements! Work on objections. Great questions, covered all aspects needed, talk a little more about the harm part.

Eli: Good stats. How can high-achieving represent high-risk? Growth/Progress. What does negative progress look like? You could say Latinos don't come back to community. How are we not building roots? (Reasoning/logic) How are leaders not helping? Needs to answer more!

Pirtle: Plant feet. How are these leaders helping the whole community? (Stats/logic). Great questions, clear point, clear progression. Work on objections. How are these leaders helping? Don't bring up objections. Work on closing.

Jacob: haircut, get hair out of face/read less. Good focus on 11.01. Everybody does NOT have the same opportunities. Plant your feet when sitting. How are you not harming anyone? Talk about how these AAs help the rest of the community. YES. Don't mention the 700$, if they didn't bring it up use more time for your points.

Judge Name: Manuel Acquire better, talk to your attorney for clean up

Signature: [signature]

3.29.23

MOCK TRIAL OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: _____ Time: _____

Plaintiff: Total Team Score _____

Attorney: Camila Garcia

Witness Alyssa Magallanes

Presentation:		**Presentation:**	
Verbal	1 3 (5)	Verbal	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)	Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)
Content *What you say:*		**Content** *What you say:*	
Opening Statement	2 (4)	Direct Examination Responses	2 4 (6)
Closing Statement	2 4 (6)	Cross Examination Responses	2 (4) 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 (10)	Reasoning and conceptualization	6 (8) 10
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)	**Decorum, Rules, and Time:**	1 3 (5)
Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Attorney vs Attorney)		**Argument** *How you defend what you say:* (Witness vs Witness)	
Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 (8)	Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5	Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 (5)
Total (max. 45 points)	43	Total (max. 45 points)	38

81

Defense: Total Team Score _____

Attorney: Christian Pirtle

Witness Jacobo Mungiva

Presentation:		**Presentation:**	
Verbal	1 3 (5)	Verbal	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)	Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)
Content *What you say:*		**Content** *What you say:*	
Opening Statement	2 4 (6)	Direct Examination Responses	2 4 (6)
Closing Statement	2 4 (6)	Cross Examination Responses	2 (4) 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 (8) 10	Reasoning and conceptualization	6 (8) 10
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)	**Decorum, Rules, and Time:**	1 3 (5)
Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Attorney vs Attorney)		**Argument** *How you defend what you say:* (Attorney vs Attorney)	
Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8	Section A (score stronger reasoning here)	(6) 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 (5)	Section B (score lesser reasoning here)	3 5
Total (max. 45 points)	40	Total (max. 45 points)	39

79

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **PLAINTIFF** **DEFENDANT**
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

Christian has good vocal projection he just looks a bit confused when presenting. Camila has nice presentation, its different to the rest because she's not loud but she's assertive. Camila's questions are really good.

Alyssa contradicted herself many times but she fixed it in her clarification. Alyssa keeps saying there is progress. Jacobo loses volume throughout his DX and in CX contradicted himself as well.

Judge Name: Valeria Garcia Signature: Valeria Garcia 5.27.25

Christian got nervous near the end and choked up.

MOCK TRIAL OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: _____ Time: _____

***Plaintiff:* Total Team Score** _____

Attorney: Camila L.

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5

Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content ***What you say:***

Opening Statement 2 4 6

Closing Statement 2 4 6

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument ***How you defend what you say:***
(Attorney vs Attorney)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 43

Witness Elisa M.

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5

Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content ***What you say:***

Direct Examination Responses 2 4 6

Cross Examination Responses 2 4 6

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument ***How you defend what you say:***
(Witness vs Witness)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 41

***Defense:* Total Team Score** _____

Attorney: Christian P.

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5

Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content ***What you say:***

Opening Statement 2 4 6

Closing Statement 2 4 6

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument ***How you defend what you say:***
(Attorney vs Attorney)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 40

Witness Jacob M.

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5

Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content ***What you say:***

Direct Examination Responses 2 4 6

Cross Examination Responses 2 4 6

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument ***How you defend what you say:***
(Attorney vs Attorney)

Section A (score stronger reasoning here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser reasoning here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 38

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **PLAINTIFF** **DEFENDANT**
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

- Camila had great pace + vocal projection in opening. - love her tone
- Christian also had a great opening
  - ~~good~~ stern cut offs in CX + good questions
- Elisa could improve on CX responses - could expand on answers to benefit plaw.
  - did well ~~to~~ clearing up mistakes - saved herself
- Jacob had great volume + presence
  - concise answers to CX: saw where plaintiff was going, but contradicted himself

Judge Name: Katherine Berryman Signature: _____ 5.27.25

MOCK TRIAL OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: _____ Time: _____

***Plaintiff:* Total Team Score** _____

Attorney: Camila Garcia

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)

Content *What you say:*

Opening Statement	2 4 (6)
Closing Statement	2 4 (6)
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 (8) 10
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say:*
(Attorney vs Attorney)

Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 (8)
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5
Total (max. 45 points)	43

Witness elisa Magallenes

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)

Content *What you say:*

Direct Examination Responses	2 4 (6)
Cross Examination Responses	2 (4) 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 (10)
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say:*
(Witness vs Witness)

Section A (score stronger argument here)	(6) 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5
Total (max. 45 points)	41

***Defense:* Total Team Score** _____

Attorney: Christian Pirtle

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)

Content *What you say:*

Opening Statement	2 4 (6)
Closing Statement	2 (4) 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 (8) 10
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say:*
(Attorney vs Attorney)

Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 (5)
Total (max. 45 points)	40

Witness Jacobo Manguia

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)

Content *What you say:*

Direct Examination Responses	2 (4) 6
Cross Examination Responses	2 (4) 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 (8) 10
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say:*
(Attorney vs Attorney)

Section A (score stronger reasoning here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser reasoning here)	3 (5)
Total (max. 45 points)	36

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **PLAINTIFF** **DEFENDANT**
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

Plaintiff
- Camila was a little low on volume, she was easy to understand though with her pronunciation
- Magallenes had good volume good pacing, vary direct cx
- Camila had good questions really got to a point
- Camila needs be louder

defense
- Christian had good presentation skills also low on volume
- Christian is good at pushing questions,
- Jacobo answered short in his questions
- He did stick to his point though
- Jacobo messed up his questions
- Pirtle was doing good until Rebuttal

Judge Name: Angela Gaucarros

Signature: [signature]

3.27.25

MOCK TRIAL OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: _____ Time: _____

***Plaintiff:* Total Team Score** _____

Attorney: Camila Garcia

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)

Content *What you say:*

Opening Statement	2 4 (6)
Closing Statement	2 4 (6)
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 (8) ~~10~~
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Attorney vs Attorney)

Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 (8)
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5

Total (max. 45 points) ~~45~~ 43

Witness Elisa Magallanes

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 (3) 5

Content *What you say:*

Direct Examination Responses	2 4 (6)
Cross Examination Responses	2 (4) 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 (8) 10
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Witness vs Witness)

Section A (score stronger argument here)	(6) 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 37

***Defense:* Total Team Score** _____

Attorney: Christian Pirtle

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)

Content *What you say:*

Opening Statement	2 4 (6)
Closing Statement	2 (4) 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 (8) 10
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Attorney vs Attorney)

Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 ~~8~~ ~~10~~
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 39

Witness Jacoba Mungia

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 (3) 5

Content *What you say:*

Direct Examination Responses	2 4 (6)
Cross Examination Responses	2 ~~4~~ ~~6~~ 4
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 (8) 10
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Attorney vs Attorney)

Section A (score stronger reasoning here)	6 (8)
Section B (score lesser reasoning here)	3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 39

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **PLAINTIFF** **DEFENDANT**
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

Camila went overtime in her opening statement

Elisa went overtime

Camila is very quiet

Christian doesn't know what he is talking about sometimes.

Judge Name: Scarlett Tanos

Signature: Scarlett Tanos

5.27.25

MOCK TRIAL OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: _____ Time: _____

***Plaintiff:* Total Team Score** _____

Attorney: Camila Garcia

Witness Elisa Magallanes

Presentation:		**Presentation:**	
Verbal	1 3 (5)	Verbal	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)	Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)
Content *What you say:*		**Content** *What you say:*	
Opening Statement	2 (4) 6	Direct Examination Responses	2 4 (6)
Closing Statement	2 4 (6)	Cross Examination Responses	2 (4) 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 (8) 10	Reasoning and conceptualization	6 (8) 10
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)	**Decorum, Rules, and Time:**	1 3 (5)
Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Attorney vs Attorney)		**Argument** *How you defend what you say:* (Witness vs Witness)	
Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 (8)	Section A (score stronger argument here)	(6) 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5	Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5
Total (max. 45 points)	41	Total (max. 45 points)	39

***Defense:* Total Team Score** _____

Attorney: Christian Pitt

Witness Jacobo Munguia

Presentation:		**Presentation:**	
Verbal	1 3 (5)	Verbal	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)	Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)
Content *What you say:*		**Content** *What you say:*	
Opening Statement	2 4 (6)	Direct Examination Responses	2 4 (6)
Closing Statement	2 (4) 6	Cross Examination Responses	2 (4) 6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 (8) 10	Reasoning and conceptualization	6 (8) 10
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 (5)	**Decorum, Rules, and Time:**	1 3 (5)
Argument *How you defend what you say:* (Attorney vs Attorney)		**Argument** *How you defend what you say:* (Attorney vs Attorney)	
Section A (score stronger argument here)	(6) 8	Section A (score stronger reasoning here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5	Section B (score lesser reasoning here)	3 (5)
Total (max. 45 points)	39	Total (max. 45 points)	38

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **PLAINTIFF** **DEFENDANT**
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

Camila: Slow down!! good points. Very good cx questions. Elis: - Good responses - Good patience

Work on emphasizing with different tone of voice. But very good presentation skills. Good confidence

Christian: - Good tone, good hand gestures. - work on confidence when objecting

Jacobo: Good tone. not good facts. Cx needs more

Judge Name: Camila Garcia Signature: _____ 5.27.25