

CROSS-EXAMINATION OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

Round Robin Elimination Rounds Round: 1 Time: 12:00

Leader: Manajose Guerrero

Affirmative: Total Team Score 79.6

Member: Ariel Vesquez

Presentation:

Verbal: 1 3 (5)

Non-Verbal: 1 3 (5)

Content What you say:

Use of Value Criterion: 2 (4) 6

CX Responses: 2 (4) 6

Reasoning and conceptualization: 6 (8) 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time:

1 3 (5)

Argument How you defend what you say: (Leader vs Leader)

Section A (score stronger argument here): (6) 8

Section B (score lesser argument here): 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 39

Presentation:

Verbal: 1 3 (5)

Non-Verbal: 1 3 (5)

Content What you say:

Use of Inquiry: 2 4 (6)

Rebuttal/Rejoinder: 2 (4) 6

Reasoning and conceptualization: 6 8 (10)

Decorum, Rules, and Time:

1 (3) 5

Argument How you defend what you say: (Member vs Member)

Section A (score stronger argument here): (6) 8

Section B (score lesser argument here): 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 39

Leader: Cezar Zendejas

Opposition: Total Team Score

70

Member: Joseph Clements

Presentation:

Verbal: 1 3 (5)

Non-Verbal: 1 (3) 5

Content What you say:

Use of Value Criterion: 2 (4) 6

CX Responses: 2 (4) 6

Reasoning and conceptualization: 6 (8) 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time:

1 3 (5)

Argument How you defend what you say: (Leader vs Leader)

Section A (score stronger argument here): 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here): 3 (5)

Total (max. 45 points) 34

Presentation:

Verbal: 1 3 (5)

Non-Verbal: 1 (3) 5

Content What you say:

Use of Inquiry: 2 4 (6)

Analysis of Opponent's Argument: 2 (4) 6

Reasoning and conceptualization: 6 8 (10)

Decorum, Rules, and Time:

1 (3) 5

Argument How you defend what you say: (Member vs Member)

Section A (score stronger argument here): 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here): 3 (5)

Total (max. 45 points) 36

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one):
 (In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

AFFIRMATIVE

OPPOSITION

COMMENTS

LA - good vocals during presentation, good eye contact, responses were short but affirmative

OL - good vocals during presentation, good eye contact

MA - strong questioning; 19 sec left
 strong rebuttal; 10 sec left

also strong rebuttal; 27 sec left
 OM - very strong & confident in questioning; 22 sec left for questions

Judge Name: Emma Alvarez

Signature: [Signature]

CROSS-EXAMINATION OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

Round Robin Elimination Rounds Round: _____ Time: _____

Leader: Maria Guerrero

Affirmative: Total Team Score

Member: Araceli Vazquez

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5
 Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content What you say:

Use of Value Criterion 2 4 ~~5~~
 CX Responses 2 4 6
 Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time:

1 3 5

**Argument How you defend what you say:
 (Leader vs Leader)**

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 41

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5
 Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content What you say:

Use of Inquiry 2 4 6
 Rebuttal/Rejoinder 2 4 6
 Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time:

1 3 5

**Argument How you defend what you say:
 (Member vs Member)**

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 39

Leader: Cesar Zendejas

Opposition: Total Team Score

Member: Joseph Clements

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5
 Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content What you say:

Use of Value Criterion 2 4 6
 CX Responses 2 4 6
 Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time:

1 3 5

**Argument How you defend what you say:
 (Leader vs Leader)**

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 38

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5
 Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content What you say:

Use of Inquiry 2 4 6
 Analysis of Opponent's Argument 2 4 6
 Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time:

1 3 5

**Argument How you defend what you say:
 (Member vs Member)**

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 35

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one):

AFFIRMATIVE

OPPOSITION

(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

* Both were clear
 • very good verbals

• Araceli had a very poor rejoinder.
 • Cross examinations were ~~poor~~ poor.

Judge Name: Jose Pablo de la Barza

Signature: [Signature]