

MOCK TRIAL OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

Round Robin Elimination Rounds Round: _____ Time: _____

Attorney: Tunde Siyanbade *Plaintiff: Total Team Score* 72

Witness: Ana Sofia Leal

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5
 Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content What you say:

Opening Statement 2 4 6
 Closing Statement 2 4 6
 Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time:

1 3 5

Argument How you defend what you say: (Attorney vs Attorney)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8
 Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 40

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5
 Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content What you say:

Direct Examination Responses 2 4 6
 Cross Examination Responses 2 4 6
 Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time:

1 3 5

Argument How you defend what you say: (Witness vs Witness)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8
 Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 32

Attorney: Christian Pirtle *Defense: Total Team Score* 78

Witness: Jacobo Munguia

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5
 Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content What you say:

Opening Statement 2 4 6
 Closing Statement 2 4 6
 Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time:

1 3 5

Argument How you defend what you say: (Attorney vs Attorney)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8
 Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 37

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5
 Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content What you say:

Direct Examination Responses 2 4 6
 Cross Examination Responses 2 4 6
 Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time:

1 3 5

Argument How you defend what you say: (Attorney vs Attorney)

Section A (score stronger reasoning here) 6 8
 Section B (score lesser reasoning here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 41

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one):
 (In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

PLAINTIFF

DEFENDANT

COMMENTS

Tunde: If you ^{use points you proved in} heard her make a ^{cx in} mistake in her ^{closing} cx then get her to explain her point more so the judge hears it. Isn't your re-cx supposed to be based off of the re-DX?

Ana: Try to become more familiar with what you are reading. You will sound much more confident if it doesn't sound like you're reaching. Don't say yes right away. Look at time signals.

Christian: It seemed like you improvised at the end of your opening, and restated something said previously. In the re-cx you asked same question multiple times.

Jacobo: Don't lose passion throughout your DX. Organize your DX more with Christian. In your CX, explain the merit part of academics more.

Judge Name: Mickey Rdz

Signature: _____

5.27.25

MOCK TRIAL OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

Round Robin
 Elimination Rounds
 Round: _____
 Time: _____

Attorney: Tunde Siyanbade
 Plaintiff: Total Team Score _____
 Presentation:
 Verbal 1 3 (5)
 Non-Verbal 1 (3) 5
 Content *What you say*:
 Opening Statement 2 4 (6)
 Closing Statement 2 4 (6)
 Reasoning and conceptualization (6) 8 10
 Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 (5)
 Argument *How you defend what you say*:
 (Attorney vs Attorney)
 Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8
 Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 (5)
 Total (max. 45 points) 38

Witness: Ana Sofia Leal
 Presentation:
 Verbal 1 (3) 5
 Non-Verbal 1 (3) 5
 Content *What you say*:
 Direct Examination Responses 2 4 (6)
 Cross Examination Responses (3) 4 6
 Reasoning and conceptualization (6) 8 10
 Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 (5)
 Argument *How you defend what you say*:
 (Witness vs Witness)
 Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8
 Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 (5)
 Total (max. 45 points) 30

Attorney: Cristian Pintle
 Defense: Total Team Score _____
 Presentation:
 Verbal 1 3 (5)
 Non-Verbal 1 3 (5)
 Content *What you say*:
 Opening Statement 2 4 (6)
 Closing Statement 2 4 (6)
 Reasoning and conceptualization (6) 8 10
 Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 (3) 5
 Argument *How you defend what you say*:
 (Attorney vs Attorney)
 Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 (8)
 Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5
 Total (max. 45 points) 39

Witness: Jacobo Munguia
 Presentation:
 Verbal 1 3 (5)
 Non-Verbal 1 (3) 5
 Content *What you say*:
 Direct Examination Responses 2 (4) 6
 Cross Examination Responses 2 4 (6)
 Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 (10)
 Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 (5)
 Argument *How you defend what you say*:
 (Attorney vs Attorney)
 Section A (score stronger reasoning here) 6 (8)
 Section B (score lesser reasoning here) 3 5
 Total (max. 45 points) 41

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one):
 PLAINTIFF
 DEFENDANT

Tunde - Rec'd; wrong question
 Great Verbal lacking more non-verbal
 Present all good. Best 1A
 the room
 Leal - Good protection & struggles with student. Jacob - Great answers
 Really repetitive, need new answers.
 Stand strong

Judge Name: Jorge Solorzano
 Signature: _____
 S.27.25