

CROSS-EXAMINATION OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

Round Robin Elimination Rounds Round: _____ Time: 1:30

Leader: Knox Pinkerton

Affirmative: Total Team Score _____

Member: Marco Garcia

Presentation:	
Verbal	1 3 <u>5</u>
Non-Verbal	1 3 <u>5</u>
Content What you say:	
Use of Value Criterion	2 4 <u>6</u>
CX Responses	2 4 <u>6</u>
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 <u>10</u>
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 <u>5</u>
Argument How you defend what you say: (Leader vs Leader)	
Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 <u>8</u>
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5
Total (max. 45 points)	<u>43</u>

Presentation:	
Verbal	1 <u>3</u> <u>5</u>
Non-Verbal	1 3 <u>5</u>
Content What you say:	
Use of Inquiry	2 4 <u>6</u>
Rebuttal/Rejoinder	2 4 <u>6</u>
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 <u>10</u>
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 <u>5</u>
Argument How you defend what you say: (Member vs Member)	
Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 <u>8</u>
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5
Total (max. 45 points)	<u>43</u>

Leader: Marifer Davila

Opposition: Total Team Score _____

Member: Elvira Santa Cruz

Presentation:	
Verbal	1 <u>3</u> <u>5</u>
Non-Verbal	1 <u>3</u> <u>5</u>
Content What you say:	
Use of Value Criterion	2 4 <u>6</u>
CX Responses	2 4 <u>6</u>
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 8 <u>10</u>
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 <u>5</u>
Argument How you defend what you say: (Leader vs Leader)	
Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 <u>5</u>
Total (max. 45 points)	<u>40</u>

Presentation:	
Verbal	1 3 <u>5</u>
Non-Verbal	1 <u>3</u> <u>5</u>
Content What you say:	
Use of Inquiry	2 <u>4</u> <u>6</u>
Analysis of Opponent's Argument	2 <u>4</u> <u>6</u>
Reasoning and conceptualization	6 <u>8</u> <u>10</u>
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 3 <u>5</u>
Argument How you defend what you say: (Member vs Member)	
Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 <u>5</u>
Total (max. 45 points)	<u>36</u>

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): AFFIRMATIVE OPPOSITION
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

• Slight Sway
Knox while presenting

• Good response but
lack of confidence

• Marifer said um multiple
times, lack hand
movements

• Elvira was direct

• Marifer good at
responding Great
confidence

Judge Name: Julieta Villafranca

Signature: [Signature]

CROSS-EXAMINATION OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

Round Robin
 Elimination Rounds
 Round: _____
 Time: _____

Leader: Knox Pimenton
 Affirmative: Total Team Score 84
 Member: Harold Garcia

Presentation:
 Verbal 1 3 5
 Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content What you say:
 Use of Value Criterion 2 4 6
 CX Responses 2 4 6
 Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument How you defend what you say:
 (Leader vs Leader)
 Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8
 Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 43

Presentation:
 Verbal 1 3 5
 Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content What you say:
 Use of Inquiry 2 4 6
 Rebuttal/Rejoinder 2 4 6
 Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument How you defend what you say:
 (Member vs Member)
 Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8
 Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 41

Leader: Maria Leyva Davila
 Opposition: Total Team Score 68
 Member: Emilia Santarini Pios

Presentation:
 Verbal 1 3 5
 Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content What you say:
 Use of Value Criterion 2 4 6
 CX Responses 2 4 6
 Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument How you defend what you say:
 (Leader vs Leader)
 Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8
 Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 36

Presentation:
 Verbal 1 3 5
 Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content What you say:
 Use of Inquiry 2 4 6
 Analysis of Opponent's Argument 2 4 6
 Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument How you defend what you say:
 (Member vs Member)
 Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8
 Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 32

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one):
 (In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

AFFIRMATIVE
 OPPOSITION

Judge Name: Christian Green
 COMMENTS:

Aff. K
 - Persuasive
 - Speaking very fast
 - Opening statement good
 - Weird responses to CX

Opp. Emilia
 - CX questions got nowhere
 - was trying to cook, but she based her victory on Knox being in a group where one person did all the work
 - looking her feet were too close together

Signature: _____ 5.27.25

Aff

Opp

U

M

M ~~E~~

~~A~~ E

Making faces at opponent's speech

Was going somewhere w/ CX but ran out of time

fix this

Hand took a weird step forward + sway
Holding notecards w/ both hands

Messed up a few times in the opening statement
Shuffling/holding notecard w/ two hands

2:30 OP statement

Contradicted herself in CX responses

sounded like she was gonna cry in her rebuttal

Overall notes

I noticed presentation skills were weaker when CX began.