ORATORY OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: _____ Time: _____

Speaker 1: Maripse

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)

Content *What you say*:

Clarity of topic response	2 4 (6)
Question response	2 4 (6)
Originality of reflection and analysis	6 8 (10)

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 (3) 5

Impact: *How persuasive and influential is the presentation*

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 (8)

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 43

Speaker 2: Valentina

Presentation:

Verbal	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 (3) 5

Content *What you say*:

Clarity of topic response	2 (4) 6
Question response	2 4 (6)
Originality of reflection and analysis	6 (8) 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 (3) (5)

Impact: *How persuasive and influential is the presentation*

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 (5)

Total (~~max.~~ 45 points) 34

In the judge's opinion, the speaker with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **SPEAKER 1** **SPEAKER 2**
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive overall speech.)

COMMENTS

- Good eye contact
- best answer I have heard
- stayed on topic
- I didnt get bored

- she talks a little toooo slow like its good but Im falling asleep.
- I didnt ~~co~~ understand

Judge Name: Regina Martinez **Signature:** (11)

5.29.25

ORATORY OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: _____ Time: _____

Speaker 1: Lavin	Speaker 2: Cruz
Presentation:	**Presentation:**
Verbal 1 3 (5)	Verbal 1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal 1 ~~3~~ (5)	Non-Verbal 1 (3) ~~5~~
Content *What you say*:	**Content** *What you say*:
Clarity of topic response 2 4 (6)	Clarity of topic response 2 4 (6)
Question response 2 (4) ~~6~~	Question response 2 (4) 6
Originality of reflection and analysis 6 8 (10)	Originality of reflection and analysis 6 (8) 10
Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 (3) 5	**Decorum, Rules, and Time:** 1 3 (5)
Impact: *How persuasive and influential is the presentation*	**Impact:** *How persuasive and influential is the presentation*
Section A (score stronger argument here) (6) 8	Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5	Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 (5)
Total (max. 45 points) 39	Total (max. 45 points) 36

In the judge's opinion, the speaker with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): (SPEAKER 1) SPEAKER 2
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive overall speech.)

COMMENTS

speaker 1

- unprepared/late
- passionate
- good eye contact

speaker 2

- read of paper to much
- good speaker
- Tie your response to the question

Judge Name: Carmina G. **Signature:** _____ 5.29.25

ORATORY OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: _____ Time: _____

	Speaker 1: Mariagose Lavin	Speaker 2: Valentina Cruz
Presentation:		
Verbal	1 3 (5)	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)	1 (3) 5
Content *What you say*:		
Clarity of topic response	2 4 (6)	2 4 (6)
Question response	2 (4) (6)	2 ~~(4)~~ (6)
Originality of reflection and analysis	6 8 (10)	6 8 (10)
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 (3) 5	1 3 (5)
Impact: *How persuasive and influential is the presentation*		
Section A (score stronger argument here)	~~(6)~~ (8)	6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 5	3 (5)
Total (max. 45 points)	~~41~~	40

In the judge's opinion, the speaker with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **SPEAKER 1** **SPEAKER 2**
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive overall speech.)

COMMENTS

- ~~she~~ took points off decorum because she left speech in student center
- good eye contact
- really good answer
- didn't really answer the question tho

- habla mejor
- lost her spot for a little bit
- good but could've been better

Judge Name: Julia Garcia **Signature:** Julia Garcia 5.29.25

ORATORY OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: 2 Time: 1:00

Speaker 1: Maria Jose Lavin **Speaker 2:** Valentina Cruz

	Speaker 1	Speaker 2
Presentation:		
Verbal	1 3 (5)	1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal	1 3 (5)	1 3 (5)
Content *What you say*:		
Clarity of topic response	2 (4) 6	2 4 (6)
Question response	2 (4) 6	2 4 (6)
Originality of reflection and analysis	6 (8) 10	6 8 (10)
Decorum, Rules, and Time:	1 (3) 5	1 3 (5)
Impact: *How persuasive and influential is the presentation*		
Section A (score stronger argument here)	6 8	(6) 8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3 (5)	3 5
Total (max. 45 points)	34	43

In the judge's opinion, the speaker with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **SPEAKER 1** **(SPEAKER 2)**
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive overall speech.)

COMMENTS

Speaker 1

- Needs to be Louder
- learn how to use hands better
- 4 minutes

Speaker 2

- Isnt very familiar with her speech

Judge Name: Daniela Figueroa **Signature:** Daniela 5.29.25

ORATORY OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: _____ Time: _____

Speaker 1: Maria Jose Lopez	Speaker 2: Valentina Cruz
Presentation:	**Presentation:**
Verbal 1 3 (5)	Verbal 1 3 (5)
Non-Verbal 1 3 (5)	Non-Verbal 1 (3) 5
Content *What you say*:	**Content** *What you say*:
Clarity of topic response 2 4 (6)	Clarity of topic response 2 4 (6)
Question response 2 4 (6)	Question response 2 (4) 6
Originality of reflection and analysis 6 (8) 10	Originality of reflection and analysis 6 8 (10)
Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 (5)	**Decorum, Rules, and Time:** 1 3 (5)
Impact: *How persuasive and influential is the presentation*	**Impact:** *How persuasive and influential is the presentation*
Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 (8)	Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8
Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5	Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 (5)
Total (max. 45 points) 43	Total (max. 45 points) 38

In the judge's opinion, the speaker with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): (SPEAKER 1) SPEAKER 2
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive overall speech.)

COMMENTS

Speaker 1

- good emphasis on words
- good hand movement
- Memorized most of the speech
- good eye contact
- great story
- great Ernesto Nieto reference in Q response

Speaker 2

- loud voice
- Story with deep meaning
- [redacted] a bit nervous
- hand movement is good, not great
- Q response was very original and clear

Judge Name: Luis Alfonso Zuleta **Signature:** [signature] 5.29.25