CROSS-EXAMINATION OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☒ Elimination Rounds Round: 2 Time: 12:45

Affirmative: *Total Team Score* 88

Leader: Caliber Martinez

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5

Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content *What you say:*

Use of Value Criterion 2 4 6

CX Responses 2 4 6

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument *How you defend what you say:*
(Leader vs Leader)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 45

Member: Daniela Saucedo

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5

Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content *What you say:*

Use of Inquiry 2 4 6

Rebuttal/Rejoinder 2 4 6

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument *How you defend what you say:*
(Member vs Member)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 43

Opposition: *Total Team Score* 72

Leader: Marujase Guerrero

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5

Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content *What you say:*

Use of Value Criterion 2 4 6

CX Responses 2 4 6

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument *How you defend what you say:*
(Leader vs Leader)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 36

Member: Ariel Vazquez

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5

Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content *What you say:*

Use of Inquiry 2 4 6

Analysis of Opponent's Argument 2 4 6

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument *How you defend what you say:*
(Member vs Member)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 36

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **AFFIRMATIVE** **OPPOSITION**
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

A G D O M A

- Great tone in Opening
- Exactly 3:00
- Value Criterion could've been clearer in opening

Judge Name: Sebastian Green

Signature:

5.27.25

A

G

- Great CX responses
- gave too brief nothing

D

- Excellent CX questions
- Got Marijas to admit that leaders do not need questions to impress or something like that that was used to prove her side
- In her rebuttal she spammed information about what the opp. said in their CX

O

M

- Just a little quiet
- Said NHA instead of NNHI
- Held notecard w/ both hands
- 33 seconds remaining for opening / it wasn't a bad opening.
  - Clearly stated
- Way too quiet during CX ← deadness
- Messed up in CX, let Dani get ground.

1

- Her CX didn't really prove anything
  - If it did, it wasn't obvious to me or very clear.
- Gurt: yo
- Mumbling
  - dog barking
- Playing w/ notecard and holding w/ both hands
  - couldn't hear her
- Bro sweating

CROSS-EXAMINATION OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: _____ Time: _____

Affirmative: *Total Team Score* _____

Leader: Gali Martinez

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5

Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content *What you say*:

Use of Value Criterion 2 4 6

CX Responses 2 4 6

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument *How you defend what you say*:
(Leader vs Leader)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 37

Member: Daniela Saucedo

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5

Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content *What you say*:

Use of Inquiry 2 4 6

Rebuttal/Rejoinder 2 4 6

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument *How you defend what you say*:
(Member vs Member)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 37

Opposition: *Total Team Score* _____

Leader: Maria Jose Guerrero

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5

Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content *What you say*:

Use of Value Criterion 2 4 6

CX Responses 2 4 6

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument *How you defend what you say*:
(Leader vs Leader)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 36

Member: Ariel Vasquez

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5

Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content *What you say*:

Use of Inquiry 2 4 6

Analysis of Opponent's Argument 2 4 6

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument *How you defend what you say*:
(Member vs Member)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 38

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **AFFIRMATIVE** **OPPOSITION**
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

Gali: Don't rush through your openining statement

Daniela: Say no more time needed
- good use of Marias CX responses

Ariel: learn to emphasize the right words
- use more hands
- good use of arguing with content of affirmative
- lost momentum
- look up more

Maria: Get more familiar with argument
- 30 sec left
- Don't just say yes to CX.

Judge Name: Sarah Pirtle

Signature: _____

5.27.25

CROSS-EXAMINATION OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: _____ Time: _____

Affirmative: *Total Team Score* 82

Leader: Galilea Martinez

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 (5)

Non-Verbal 1 3 (5)

Content *What you say:*

Use of Value Criterion 2 4 (6)

CX Responses 2 4 (6)

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 (8) 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say:*
(Leader vs Leader)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 (8)

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 43

Member: Daniela Saucedo

Presentation:

Verbal 1 (3) 5

Non-Verbal 1 (3) 5

Content *What you say:*

Use of Inquiry 2 4 (6)

Rebuttal/Rejoinder 2 4 (6)

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 (8) 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say:*
(Member vs Member)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 (8)

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 39

Opposition: *Total Team Score*

Leader: Mariajose Guerro

Presentation:

Verbal 1 (3) 5

Non-Verbal (1) 3 5

Content *What you say:*

Use of Value Criterion 2 4 (6)

CX Responses (2) 4 6

Reasoning and conceptualization (6) 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 (3) 5

Argument *How you defend what you say:*
(Leader vs Leader)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 (5)

Total (max. 45 points) 26

Member: Ariel Vasquez

Presentation:

Verbal 1 (3) 5

Non-Verbal 1 (3) 5

Content *What you say:*

Use of Inquiry (2) 4 6

Analysis of Opponent's Argument 2 4 (6)

Reasoning and conceptualization (6) 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 (3) [illegible]

Argument *How you defend what you say:*
(Member vs Member)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 (5)

Total (max. 45 points) 28

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **(AFFIRMATIVE)** **OPPOSITION**
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

A

Leader

Strong voice
Speaks clearly

Member

Leader

- Tone sounds too formal /unsure of yourself
- Poor eyecontact

Member

- hard to understand what you are saying
- don't make faces when opponent speaks - looks unprofes

Judge Name: Addison Barrera Signature: Addy B. 5.27.25

CROSS-EXAMINATION OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: 2 Time: 12:55

Affirmative: *Total Team Score* _____

Leader: Galilea Martinez

Presentation:

Verbal	1	3	(5)
Non-Verbal	1	3	(5)

Content *What you say*:

Use of Value Criterion	2	4	(6)
CX Responses	2	4	(6)
Reasoning and conceptualization	6	8	(10)

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say*:
(Leader vs Leader)

Section A (score stronger argument here)	(6)	8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3	5

Total (max. 45 points) 43

Member: Daniela Saucedo

Presentation:

Verbal	1	3	(5)
Non-Verbal	1	3	(5)

Content *What you say*:

Use of Inquiry	2	4	(6)
Rebuttal/Rejoinder	2	4	(6)
Reasoning and conceptualization	6	8	(10)

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say*:
(Member vs Member)

Section A (score stronger argument here)	6	(8)
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3	5

Total (max. 45 points) 45

Opposition: *Total Team Score*

Leader: Mariajose Guerrero

Presentation:

Verbal	1	3	(5)
Non-Verbal	1	3	(5)

Content *What you say*:

Use of Value Criterion	2	4	(6)
CX Responses	2	(4)	6
Reasoning and conceptualization	6	(8)	10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say*:
(Leader vs Leader)

Section A (score stronger argument here)	6	8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3	(5)

Total (max. 45 points) 38

Member: Ariel Vasquez

Presentation:

Verbal	1	3	(5)
Non-Verbal	1	3	(5)

Content *What you say*:

Use of Inquiry	2	(4)	6
Analysis of Opponent's Argument	2	4	(6)
Reasoning and conceptualization	6	(8)	10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 (5)

Argument *How you defend what you say*:
(Member vs Member)

Section A (score stronger argument here)	6	8
Section B (score lesser argument here)	3	(5)

Total (max. 45 points) 38

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **AFFIRMATIVE** **OPPOSITION**
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

Gali. strong voice, "lack inclusiveness" good responses,

Daniela. great reiteration, and follow up, badass questions, good quotes, very related (on-topic), great strong tone,

MJ. "thriving", "we have CEB" but lacks proof, not bad responses but sounded and looked confused many times.

Ariel. questions were shaky, didn't manage to prove anything, decent points in rebuttals., stutters, should speak slower

Judge Name: Adrian Alfaro

Signature: _____

5.27.25

CROSS-EXAMINATION OFFICIAL JUDGING BALLOT

☐ Round Robin ☐ Elimination Rounds Round: _____ Time: _____

Affirmative: *Total Team Score* _____

Leader: Galilea Mtz

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5

Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content ***What you say:***

Use of Value Criterion 2 4 6

CX Responses 2 4 6

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument ***How you defend what you say:***
(Leader vs Leader)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 41

Member: Daniela Saucedo

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5

Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content ***What you say:***

Use of Inquiry 2 4 6

Rebuttal/Rejoinder 2 4 6

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument ***How you defend what you say:***
(Member vs Member)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 41

Opposition: *Total Team Score* _____

Leader: Mariajose Guerrero

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5

Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content ***What you say:***

Use of Value Criterion 2 4 6

CX Responses 2 4 6

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument ***How you defend what you say:***
(Leader vs Leader)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 34

Member: Ariel Vasquez

Presentation:

Verbal 1 3 5

Non-Verbal 1 3 5

Content ***What you say:***

Use of Inquiry 2 4 6

Analysis of Opponent's Argument 2 4 6

Reasoning and conceptualization 6 8 10

Decorum, Rules, and Time: 1 3 5

Argument ***How you defend what you say:***
(Member vs Member)

Section A (score stronger argument here) 6 8

Section B (score lesser argument here) 3 5

Total (max. 45 points) 36

In the judge's opinion, the team with the highest combined points was made by (circle one): **AFFIRMATIVE** **OPPOSITION**
(In the event of a tie, the judge may choose the more effective and persuasive team.)

COMMENTS

- Gali speak loud and clear, made time perfectly
- Gali is a quick thinker answered quickly & w/ good content
- Ariel lacks
- Maria could use more hand movements
- Dani proved her point
- Great at building up her argument

Judge Name: Julieta Villafranca

Signature: _____

5.27.25